



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

**TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED**  
**P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999**  
**DALLAS TX 75265**

**MAILED**

**NOV 15 2010**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
Gilbert Cabillic et al.  
Application No. 10/003,570  
Filed: October 24, 2001  
Attorney Docket No. TI-32157

: DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed October 6, 2010, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the final Office action mailed, March 18, 2010, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on June 19, 2010.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a Notice of Appeal, with the required fee of \$540, and Appeal Brief, with the required fee of \$540, (2) the petition fee of \$1,620, and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay. Accordingly the Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief are accepted as being unintentionally delayed.

37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that "the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional." Since the statement appearing in the petition varies from the language required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3), the statement is being construed as the required statement. Petitioner must notify the Office if this is **not** a correct reading of the statement appearing in the petition.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kimberly Inabinet at (571) 272-4618.

This application is being referred to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for appropriate action in the normal course of business for processing of the reply received October 6, 2010.

/Kimberly Inabinet/

Kimberly Inabinet  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions